

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/775,394	ROBINSON, KEITH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paresh Patel	2829

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Paresh Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Seeley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

Jones et al. (US 4835469)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Mr. Seeley discussed above prior art to overcome Jones et al. reference for claim 32. Mr. Seeley needs office action because he believes that at least the load board as claimed is not found in the reference i.e. board 20.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)